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This paper describes the data communications activity at the National Physical Laboratory (NPL) during the period 1965–1975. The key feature of the NPL work was the development of the principle of packet switching, which was first proposed in a data communications context by D. W. Davies of the NPL in 1965. The report focuses on the construction of the NPL Data Communications Network, which first became operational in 1970. This network served both as a model for a possible U.K. national network and as a practical local area network (LAN) for the NPL site. The report describes the impact of the NPL work on other early networks, such as Arpanet and the British Experimental Packet-Switched Service (EPSS), and on data communications in general.
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Introduction

Scope of the Paper

The subject of data communications is a comparatively recent one, having only come into its own during the second half of the 1970s. There is thus almost no secondary literature to support a wide-ranging historical study. On the other hand there is an almost overwhelming volume of primary literature: some of this arises because data communication projects have often been multinational and multi-institutional so that written communications have assumed an unusual importance; there have also been extensive international standardization activities which are well known for their lavish generation of documentation.

Consequently the present study has the modest aim of making a contribution to the secondary literature of data communications by giving a detailed historical account of the development of data communications at one particular center, the U.K. National Physical Laboratory (NPL). The NPL was, however, probably the first group to adopt packet switching and thus occupies a key place in the development of the dominant data communications technology of the 1980s. It was also responsible for the first practical implementation of a local area network, and made several contributions to the technology of packet-switched networks (PSNs). The NPL was also the main catalyst for the adoption of the new data com-
An eight-bit parallel interface was developed by Barber's group, which received considerable support from government research organizations, universities, and industry. In 1965 a draft specification for the interface was circulated. As a result of interactions with International Computers and Tabulators (ICT, later ICL) a revised specification was produced which was ultimately published as British Standard BS4421 in April 1969 (BSI 1969). Hopes that the standard would be adopted by ISO did not unfortunately materialize, although BS4421 continued in use at numerous British research establishments and was adopted in the Eastern bloc.

In 1965, in addition to his other duties, Davies assumed overall responsibility for the technical management of the Advanced Computer Technology Project (ACTP). The aim of this project, which was an initiative of the new Labour government, was to act as a halfway house between long-term basic research and short-term industrial development. Research projects, on topics determined by a series of committees, were contracted out to industrial research organizations on a cost-shared basis with the aim of fostering advanced computer research in industry. The scale of the ACTP quickly rose to an annual budget of over £1 million distributed among more than 20 contracts, representing a sizable proportion of the national computer research budget. This experience gave Davies an insight into industrial collaboration and the mechanisms for technology transfer that would prove valuable in the data communications project.

At the time that Davies became Superintendent in 1966, the division consisted of some 80 staff members, of whom a little under half were graduate scientists, and the remainder were technical and support staff. The main research groups included Automatic Control Systems, Pattern Recognition, Information Systems, Machine Translation, and Programming Research. One of Davies' first acts on becoming Superintendent was to establish a data communications research group under Barber; the work of this group is the subject of this article.

Sources
During the summer of 1985 I conducted a search at NPL to locate extant records on which to base this study. By far the most useful source of information was the personal records of Peter T. Wilkinson, one of the project principals, who had retained most of the technical memoranda generated during the early phase of the project, and a good proportion of the later documentation. The files of Davies also contained copies of the key memoranda prior to Wilkinson's arrival on the project, as well as a number of documents produced for administrative purposes, and unpublished conference papers. Copies of technical and administrative documents were also supplied by Barber and Wyn Price from their personal files. A search of the central administrative records of the Division uncovered very little material indeed, as obsolete records of no obvious importance are routinely destroyed, usually within a few years of their creation.

The resulting haul consisted of roughly 70 significant documents, and many more less-interesting items. These were predominantly technical in nature, though perhaps 10–20 percent were of an administrative character. In addition there were also several dozen unpublished reports and memoranda, conference papers and publications. Only the most important items have been cited in this paper—a longer unpublished report gives a complete bibliography (Campbell-Kelly 1986). Outside the NPL, the papers of the late Stanley Gill (CSAC 1980) contained a large number of documents relating to his work on U.K. national data communications policy. I have also interviewed several of the people involved in the proj-
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message transmission and also achieved the concentration function of the traditional message switch. The SABRE airline reservation system developed jointly by IBM and American Airlines during 1959–1964 is generally accepted as being the pioneering real-time transaction processing system and it illustrates well the range of data communications options in a private network at that time (Plugge and Perry 1961). The SABRE network spanned the American continent and used several proven and some specially developed data communications techniques suited to differing geographical situations. For example, in large cities, terminals from a number of local agents would be multiplexed onto a single leased line to the New York computer center. In other cases several agents would be "multidropped" onto a single leased line but only one agent could make use of it at a time. The one technology not used in the SABRE project was conventional message switching because the delays involved would have been intolerable in a real-time environment.

During the middle and late 1960s many real-time banking and reservations systems were developed, all using essentially similar data communications technology. In Europe the pattern was similar, although lagging behind the U.S. by one or two years.

Packet Switching

In May 1965 Davies made a trip to the U.S. to attend the IFIP '65 Congress and for a fact-finding mission to review the state of American computer research. At that time Davies had two main research interests: the first was an actual interest in cryogenic storage, and the second was a potential interest in the multi-access timesharing systems which were then being developed.

During his trip Davies made several visits to cryogenic research groups and also visited the main experimental timesharing projects. These included Project MAC at MIT where the compatible timesharing system (CTSS) was now a going concern and MULTICS was under development, the Dartmouth Timesharing System at GE Arizona, and the JOSS system at the Rand Corporation. Davies was particularly struck by the scale of the MULTICS development, and the IBM MTS system for the model 360/67 on which 250 programmers were reputed to be working. It was clear that any timesharing project at the NPL, which would involve no more than a handful of people, could not hope to compete in terms of scale.

At the IFIP '65 meeting, scientific timesharing systems and on-line transaction processing systems were very much in the air, much more so than in Britain. On his return to England, at the suggestion of Professor Brian Shackel (then with EMI), Davies organized an NPL seminar on multi-access timesharing for which personnel from Project MAC were brought over to participate. This seminar, held at the beginning of November, was by invitation only, and a second seminar was organized in collaboration with the British Computer Society shortly after. It was at these meetings, Davies recalls, that the mismatch between timesharing and communications first surfaced as a research issue (Davies 1986).

The problem was that the conversational mode of interaction made very poor use of telephone line capacity. Transactions between a person using a "console" (as terminals were then called), and a computer typically involved the intermittent exchange of messages of the order of a line of text; it was commonly stated that not more than a few percent of the bandwidth was used. For scientific timesharing computers such as MIT's CTSS, this was not a problem because terminals were either located on campus and used private lines or were located at the homes of faculty members and could use a local call (often at no extra charge in North America). In fact not a great deal was done about the communications needs of scientific timesharing computers until the interactive networking of computers became fashionable with the Advanced Research Project Agency network (ARPANET) project in the early 1970s. Davies realized that the communications problem actually impinged far more on commercial transaction processing systems, which were somewhat beyond the range of academic experience. Although large-scale users could afford their own private networks, these were relatively few, and their existence did nothing to alleviate the communications problems of smaller users.

Shortly after the November 1965 seminars Davies made a proposal for a national message switching network geared to other needs of real-time computing. Davies' reasoning was that the needs of interactive terminals could best be served by a dedicated network in which messages were limited to the equivalent of a line of text, so that the delays inherent in telegraph message systems would be obviated.

Existing computerized message switches had done a good deal to streamline operations and to make them cheaper, but they could not attack the
to their local node by means of an interface computer, $I$, shown in Figure 3. The interface computer would give computers and terminals access to the network, performing the necessary housekeeping of packet construction and interaction with the node computer. The interface computer included a suggestion of what would now be called a fileserver, and a PAD (packet assembler and disassembler). The June 1966 report also included several further ideas now commonplace in networks—such as the distinction between datagram and virtual circuit modes of operation—which suggests that once the concept of packet switching had been arrived at, the basic options fell out quite readily. The report included some fairly detailed performance calculations for the node (now estimated at 2500 packets per second) and cost estimates of about £50,000 per node.

A final section of the report proposed that an experimental system with a projected life of about five years should be set up as a prototype of a future national network. The report was widely circulated in the GPO, among British telecommunications manufacturers, and to postal telegraph and telecommunications companies (PTTs) and individuals in the United States, Europe, and Japan.

**Toward a National Network**

Although packet switching was fully appreciated at a technical level by engineers within the GPO, there was unfortunately no simple interface that connected this technical endorsement to the strategic planning and investment decision making apparatus of the GPO. In August 1966, however, Davies was promoted to Superintendent of his division and was in a position to start a small research group on data communications; although relations with the GPO would remain constant and friendly during the coming years, Davies never had cause to regret taking an independent line.

In fact the GPO would eventually adopt the idea of packet switching, but it would do so in its own way and in its own time. In hindsight the GPO's response seems somewhat leisurely, but this must be seen against the larger background of data communications in Britain during the second half of the 1960s. Davies's was just one of the voices that were shouting to be heard by the GPO and by no means the most influential; that voice probably belonged to Stanley Gill, Professor of Automatic Data Processing at Imperial College, University of London. As early as January 1966 Gill had made a major speech to the Datafair conference in London "Why Real Time Computing is Different" (Gill 1966); this was the first of many public calls from Gill to the GPO to increase its provision data communications. Another issue frequently raised by Gill was the con-
prevented him from taking a very direct part in the day-to-day work of the project, although he continued to proselytize for packet switching at conferences and seminars.

Barber at this time was effectively a deputy to Davies and also had a heavy administrative load, so that the technical leadership of the project fell to Scantlebury. Scantlebury had previously been involved with the cryogenics project, which now assumed a lower priority with the advent of the data communications activity. The project was split fairly evenly between hardware and software. Bartlett took the lead on the hardware side; he had invaluable practical experience on hardware design, but this was his first opportunity to take a major design role. Wilkinson was a new recruit to the NPL and handled the software side; he was qualified with a doctorate in chemistry but, like many another doctoral student of chemistry at the time, he had become hooked on computing and changed his career direction. Of the group he was the most academic in outlook and the most able to inject rigor into the project. The group of three, Scantlebury, Bartlett, and Wilkinson, were to be the project principals until it was completed in 1973.

The starting point for the group was a memorandum written by Davies at the end of July 1966, A Computer Network for NPL (Davies July 1966). This memorandum proposed building a network on the NPL site which would "serve the computing needs of NPL and be a useful advance in computer organization, and a working prototype for a national network." This policy was a very appropriate one. First, it sold the project on the basis of its being an enhancement of the NPL computing facilities. Second, the NPL site was sufficiently extensive to serve as an effective model for a wide-area network and yet avoid all the political problems of using the public transmission system and collaborating with other organizations. Thirdly, the Division excelled at building prototypes, which ultimately would be more convincing than any paper study.

Referring back to Figure 2, the organization of the network had two aspects: first a high-level network (which we would now call the subnet), and second a local network built around the interface computer. The first task of the group, before it concerned itself with a local network, was to demonstrate beyond reasonable doubt by hardware and software studies that the high-level network was feasible.

Although the fitness of the topology of the high-level network was never really in question, Wilkinson did in fact show that it was superior to a tree-connected network. By late August 1966, Scantlebury and Bartlett had outlined the requirements for a node computer. This study was based on a network of 5 nodes linked by 1.5 megabit/second lines and using packets of 1024 bits (128 bytes). Even assuming that the lines were kept well under saturation, these figures implied the need for a node computer to be able to process the order of 10,000 packets per second. It immediately became clear that no combination of hardware or software would be able to achieve these rates. During the remainder of 1966, therefore, three separate studies were undertaken to determine the optimum hardware/software combination to achieve maximum processing speed and economy. One study made maximum use of hardware by using specially constructed interfaces to assemble complete packets (and curiously had the worst performance of all); a second study did as much as possible by software; and a third study used a combined approach. These studies involved Bartlett and Scantlebury in the outline design and costing of special purpose hardware, and Wilkinson in outline coding to estimate timing by counting instructions in loops. The results of these studies are summarized in Table 1. Perhaps not surprisingly, the combined hardware/software approach proved best: "The preferred design showed a much better cost/performance ratio than the others, which indicate that it is not far from optimal" (Davies et al. 1967).

By far the most significant conclusion of the
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because it had a unique multiplexing arrangement that enabled a very large number of devices to be attached. This multiplexing scheme (Figure 5) consisted of a tree of demand sorters which could be indefinitely deep. A byte arriving at one of the eight inputs of a demand sorter would be transferred, on a priority basis, to the demand sorter at the next level; at the top level data was transferred directly to the memory of the computer without software intervention. At each stage a three-bit address (corresponding to the input number of the demand sorter) was attached to an incoming byte so that its origin could be determined by program. Plessey had proposed a multiplexing depth of three for the NPL project, permitting a maximum of 512 devices to be attached, each incoming byte being tagged with a nine-bit address.

This multiplexing arrangement was a very significant aspect of the design because it was ultimately envisaged as attaching at least 200 devices to the local network. Conventional multiplexing would have required far too high a bandwidth, and no computer of reasonable speed could have polled this number of terminals with the required frequency. (It is interesting to note that much later ARPANET had exactly the same problem and also had to devise a solution using custom-made hardware (Omstein et al. 1972).) Multiplexing a large number of terminals remains a problem with no easy solution. Since the Plessey arrangement could cope equally well with terminals, high-speed peripherals, and computers, the original plan to attach terminals to a PAD-type concentrator was dropped at this stage.

Having settled on the Plessey machine, the next hardware tasks were the detailed design of the interface between the terminal devices and the switching computer, and the arrangements to secure reliable transmission of packets of data over the high-speed lines. (These two tasks correspond to the physical and link levels of a modern network architecture. Although the two tasks were treated separately, it was not until the Mark II network design in 1970 that the concept of a layered architecture was fully appreciated.)

The design of the interface was first discussed in a memorandum by Scantlebury and Bartlett (April 1967) A Protocol for Use in the NPL Data Communications Network. (This document, incidentally, contains what appears to be the first occurrence in print of the term protocol in a data communications context; Bartlett's recollection is that the term procedure had been used up to that point but was now objected to on the grounds that it had acquired a special meaning in the ALGOL report.) In 1967 very little work had been done on telecommunications standardization, and, although CCITT had recently published the V24 standard, there was no advantage to the NPL in using it since there was little equipment on the market that conformed to it. On the other hand, there was considerable experience in the Division of the BSI 4421 interface, and a good deal of equipment around the laboratory was based upon it. It was therefore decided to adopt this interface for the NPL network.

It was realized that a byte-by-byte mode of transmission with handshaking would limit transmission speeds to an effective 50 kilobits/second which would be rather pedestrian for computer-computer transfers. A good deal of design effort therefore went into designing an interface for 16 byte segments (eight segments to the packet); although this arrangement appears in all the published papers it was ultimately dropped.

By July 1967 the design of the NPL network was sufficiently advanced for Davies to apply for funding totaling £200,000 from the NPL Steering Committee. The application requested funding in two stages. For the first stage, in financial year 1967/68, total funding was requested of £42,000 for an interface computer and special purpose hardware. In the second stage, for 1968/70, £83,000 was requested for a further interface computer to act as a standby machine for resilience, and a second machine to act as a file-server (the "filestore system"); £75,000 was also requested for disc storage. Davies attached great importance to the filestore, even though it accounted for well over half of the total project cost,
tocol and resulted in a considerable improvement in performance (McQuillan et al. 1972). In the late 1970s when protocol correctness became a major research topic, the alternating bit protocol came to be regarded as a classic piece of work (see for example Yemini and Kurose 1982).

In August 1968 the IFIP '68 Congress was held in Edinburgh. Davies was invited to give a keynote paper describing the NPL proposals to the 4000 attendees of the Congress. Davies's paper, "Communication Networks to Serve Rapid Response Computers," was followed by an invited commentary from M. B. Williams, Deputy Director of the Post Office Engineering Department, who was mildly encouraging while maintaining a noncommittal official stand. In one of the parallel sessions on computer hardware, Davies, Scantlebury, Bartlett, and Wilkinson presented a suite of four technical papers describing the network. Two of these papers (Davies 1968b; Scantlebury, Wilkinson, and Bartlett 1968) covered the same material presented at Gatlinburg in October 1967 and used essentially the same text. A third paper (Wilkinson and Scantlebury 1968) described the organization of the interface computer in fairly general terms. A fourth paper by Bartlett, "Transmission Control in a Local Network," was the only paper to include substantially new material; this paper described the link hardware designed during 1968 and which incorporated the alternating bit protocol. A notable feature of the paper was a clear statement of the need for three levels of data transmission (roughly corresponding to the lower levels of the ISO seven-layer model): "If interfaces between adjacent levels can be defined, improvements in technology at any one level do not involve redesign or change at any other level" (Bartlett 1968, p. 705). The IFIP '68 presentations were the first time the packet switching ideas had been tested out at a major international conference, and they are invariably the papers cited in the literature of computer networks.

Shortly after the Edinburgh conference, the project received a major setback when Plessey announced the cancellation of the XL12 computer. During the previous few weeks International Computers Limited (ICL) had begun commercial operations as the result of the merger between ICT and the computer interests of English Electric, with Plessey taking a major share-holding. As part of the rationalization plans for the British computer industry, Plessey had decided to withdraw from direct computer manufacture. This had major consequences for the project. First an alternative machine had to be selected. This was not too difficult in itself, as the tendering exercise undertaken earlier in the year had been fairly thorough, but it did mean a loss of time in specifying interface hardware, and the time spent on exploratory coding for the XL12 was to some extent wasted. But by far the most serious problem was that the demand multiplexers to be supplied by Plessey would no longer be available. By this time, design of the network around this transmission system had passed the point of no return, so that Bartlett and Scantlebury now had to take on the design of the demand multiplexers themselves.

A Honeywell DDP-516 computer was selected to replace the XL12. This was a decision the group had no cause to regret, and the same machine was later selected for the ARPANET nodes (IMPs). The architecture of the network now crystallized into its final form, later becoming known as the Mark I network.

**The Mark I Network**

The Mark I network, shown in Figure 7, was designed to act as a switching computer which would make a virtual circuit connection between any two devices, provided this was physically possible. A device could be a computer, a peripheral such as a printer, or a VDU or typewriter terminal. No distinction was made between computers or terminals: all were treated alike. So far as the user was concerned, the packet switching principle was largely irrelevant; and indeed the only purpose it served in the Mark I Network was to demonstrate the feasibility of the concept.

With the arrival of the DDP-516 in early 1969, development work on the network began in earnest and the project changed from being largely a research program to a development task. By the spring the project had acquired sufficient momentum for Davies to allow Scantlebury to be seconded to the GPO for a study project on data communications (described below). At this stage the project effectively divided into two: a hardware team led by Bartlett and a software group led by Wilkinson.

In terms of hardware, there were three main units that had to be constructed (Figure 7(a)): the demand multiplexers; the line terminals (LTs); and the peripheral control units (PCUs). Although the original concept of the multiplexer
packet containing the address of the destination; once the call had been established, further packets contained no routing information. For unintelligent devices, or devices without keyboards, the PCU could be provided with a keypad to "dial" the virtual connection. In this way it was possible, for example, to dump the contents of a paper tape onto a line-printer without any other formality—a fairly remarkable facility at the time.

It was possible to attach a PCU directly to a multiplexer input, and, in the case of devices physically close to the switching computer, this was done. Remote devices, however, had to be connected via one of the serial digital transmission lines on the NPL site, with an LT at either end. The LT had two functions: serial/parallel conversion, and ensuring reliable transmission. Reliable transmission was achieved by special hardware using the alternating bit protocol. This aspect of the device was a masterly design decision; using the technology of the late 1960s it is difficult to conceive of a more cost-effective way of ensuring reliable transmission.

The various hardware units were designed and constructed in fairly small numbers by a technical team led by Bartlett which included L. A.
Figure 8. The NPL network (1971). The Honeywell DDP-516 switching computer is to the left. The racking on the right contains multiplexers and line terminals. (Photograph supplied by NPL Photographic Section.)

the spirit of the interface computer originally suggested by Davies in his June 1966 proposal (Figure 3). The interface computer now consisted of a packet switch to which could be attached “user machines” [hosts] which all communicated at the packet level with one other or with a national network when it became available. The terminal processor was just a particular kind of host and for reasons of economy was implemented in the same physical DDP-516 as the packet switch. [Incidentally, the ARPA group later arrived at a very similar arrangement—the Terminal Interface Processor (TIP)—for essentially similar reasons (Ornstein et al. 1972).]

Although the Mark I network had to some degree been designed in a structured way, it was only in the Mark II network that an explicitly “layered” network architecture emerged. The earliest NPL memorandum relating to a layered architecture was written by Wilkinson in a document dated October 1970 (Wilkinson 1970); this document was also the first to reference the ARPA network, which had independently arrived at a layered approach. The document proposed a three-layered hierarchy (Figure 10). At the lowest layer, a hardware control module enabled a user machine to exchange information with the communications subnet at the physical level. At the second level, a link control module in the host established reliable packet communication with the subnet. At the third level, processes within user machines would communicate messages with each other through conceptual channels independent of the underlying network. (To a first approximation these layers correspond to layers 1, 2, and 3–5 of the ISO seven-layer model, suggesting that historically our understanding of the separate layers has evolved from the bottom up.) In January 1971 Wilkinson applied these concepts to the redesign of the local network.

The development of the Mark II network was mainly a matter of redesigning the software and protocols, because the hardware would be largely unaffected. At this time the whole concept of a structured approach to computing was very much in the air, and Wilkinson recalls particularly being influenced by Dijkstra’s THE operating system, and

Figure 9. The Mark II network.
terminals such as data base, word processing, and electronic mail (although the latter two terms were not then in use). The existence of Scrapbook led to a considerable decline in the need for secretarial provision in the division. Scrapbook was a major development task, of comparable magnitude to the network software itself, which was undertaken by an NPL team led by David Yates (Cashin, Robinson, and Yates 1974). The Scrapbook system was subsequently licensed to a U.K. software house but only a handful of systems were sold, perhaps because it was some time ahead of the general acceptance of office automation. [As a computer journalist at the time, Rex Malik recalls (1986) being deeply impressed by Scrapbook, but that the general climate of opinion was not yet ready for office automation.]

The further development of the filestore was undertaken by CAP Limited, providing on-line storage of 20 megabytes with archiving facilities on magnetic tape (Bailey and Woods 1974). As a file-server it provided printer spooling and backing storage for several minicomputers attached to the network. A final facility provided was EDIT, an interactive network service that offered a text editing service for programs and simple documents and the background execution of programs. It is interesting that EDIT was developed by NPL’s central computer services rather than by the Computer Science Division, illustrating the site-wide acceptance of the network: "Most users at NPL now think of the network as comprising a collection of services. The DCN [data communications network] is, as it should be, invisible to most of them" (Hillman and Schofield 1977).

By 1975 the network was handling 300,000 packets in a 12-hour day for some 200 terminals and providing a range of services that compared favorably with those anywhere, except perhaps in terms of raw processing power. The network continued in operation, with no modification other than routine maintenance and extension until its replacement by a new network in 1985/86.

**Other Data Communications Activities**

**Simulation Studies 1968–1975**

By late 1966 the Scantlebury group had completed its initial studies for a high-level network, having gone as far as was profitable with hardware and software studies and the application of simple queuing theory. In 1968 this work was followed up by the first simulation studies undertaken by Roger Healey with Wilkinson’s guidance. In 1970 Healey’s work was continued by Price and was further developed by research contracts placed with Plessey Telecommunications Research (PTR) and Logica. Price has written a detailed historical account of the NPL simulation work (Price 1977). For this reason—and because the topic is one which would itself require a long paper to discuss in depth—this report will confine itself to major topics, serving, as it were, to introduce Price’s more detailed account.

Healey’s original simulation study was based on the model of a network node first described by the NPL group at the October 1967 Gatlingburg meeting (Davies et al. 1967). An important input to the study was the Rand Corporation reports written by Baran (the reports are cited in Baran 1964 and Davies et al. 1973). These were studied in depth by Healey and Wilkinson, and the "adaptive routing" strategy chosen for the NPL study was based on Baran’s "hot potato" method. Under this routing doctrine, a packet arriving at a node was output at the earliest opportunity to any free link, according to a table of priorities which was updated in response to local traffic conditions. For the purposes of the simulation, an 18-node network connected by 1.5 megabit/second lines was devised which could be regarded as a possible configuration for a national network (Figure 11A). Simulation programs were written in ALGOL 60, and many simulations for varying parameters of the model were run (and consumed prodigious amounts of computing time—a half-second simulation taking 2½ hours to run).

The main conclusion of Healey’s study is illustrated in Figure 11B: this showed that as network traffic increased congestion occurred, eventually leading to network failure. Network saturation arose at quite low levels of between four and five megabit/second of total traffic. Healey’s work was done largely in isolation and gave a valuable insight into packet-switched network performance at a time when there was no published theoretical or practical experience of such networks. During 1970 similar studies were published for ARPANET (Kleinrock 1970). The same year the work at NPL was revived under Price, and a contract was placed with Plessey. The emphasis of the work at this time was the avoidance of network failure due to congestion which had been highlighted by the Healey study.

The early Plessey studies confirmed the validity of Healey’s results, but it was shown that by
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I am not at all sure that it is the right way to carry out a pilot experiment in store-and-forward type networks. Much better, I think for the Post Office to set up a pilot network to provide a commercial service to all users. (Davis to Gill, 16 June 1969; Gill papers D26).

In fact the proposal was overtaken by events and did not materialize; if it had done so it would have created a research network contemporary with ARPANET, though on a smaller scale.

On 15 July 1969, the Bowden Committee met with the chairman of the Post Office Economic Development Committee. This committee was attached to the National Economic Development Office and was very close to government. As a result of this meeting, the Real Time Club was formally asked to submit a proposal for an experimental network which the Economic Development Committee would communicate to the Postmaster General. By November the report had been compiled by R. W. Evans (Time Sharing Limited), S. Gill, and P. A. B. Hughes (Logica Limited), with a technical appendix contributed by D. W. Davies (Real Time Club 1969).

The development of the GPO Experimental Packet Switched Service (EPSS) would itself be a significant historical study, and in any case the relevant documentation has not been released. It seems safe to assert, however, that the Real Time Club report, together with the technical endorsement of packet switching resulting from the four study contracts, were the most significant spurs on the GPO. In 1970–1971 a detailed feasibility study based on an 18-node network was undertaken in the GPO (Williams 1971). A formal announcement of an experimental network was made in August 1973, based on three nodes located in London, Manchester, and Glasgow. The experimental service began operations in spring 1977, with some 40 user organizations having expressed an interest in participating (Burgess and Lockwood 1978). The response to the network fell below expectations: Davies's own feeling is that the experimental nature of the network perhaps did not invite the long-term commitment of users (Davies 1986). Despite the modest success of EPSS, there is no doubt that it influenced very significantly the evolution of the CCITT X.25 standards and ultimately the ISO open systems concept.

In September 1976 a major government inquiry was established to report on computer networks. The committee was chaired by Jack Howlett and drew on the leading practitioners in the country; these included Davies, who was chairman of the working group on networks outside the U.K. and a member of the standards working group. The report of the committee was published in December 1978 (Howlett 1978). It unequivocally recommended the establishment of a national network conforming to international standards, and suggested a fairly sweeping liberalization of the GPO’s monopoly. The GPO’s commercial network PSS (Packet Switched Service, later Packet Switched Stream) began operations in 1981 using a system based on the American GTE Telenet, itself derived from ARPANET and licensed in the U.K. to Plessey.

Subsequent Activities (1973–Present)

In October 1969 Derek Barber visited the ARPA network in the United States and saw the first few nodes working. The following May at the 1970 AFIPS Spring Joint Computer Conference in the United States, L. G. Roberts and his co-workers gave a series of five papers describing the project. From that time on, world interest in networks focussed on wide area networks in general and ARPANET in particular. Within Europe, plans for the European Informatics Network (EIN) were in hand and would soon act as a focus for European network development; Barber played a leading part in proposing EIN and eventually led the project (Barber 1974).

In his statement for the 1972–1973 NPL Annual Research Report, Davies wrote:

The pioneering work by NPL on data communication is quite widely known, but now a great deal has also been accomplished by other workers in the field. Both in the USA and in Europe many examples of packet switched networks are to be found, and to some extent the preeminent position NPL once held has been lost. This was inevitable, since our limited resources necessarily have had to be concentrated on the completion of our own experimental network. (NPL 1974, p. 5)

Computer networks had thus moved from the laboratory into the outside world. Davies' personal contribution to computer networking was recognized by the presentation of the John Player Award of the British Computer Society in 1973, and he was subsequently made a distinguished fellow of the society. The NPL was now recognized as the U.K.'s leading center of expertise in
Table 2. The Gill Data Communications Scenarios (1968)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Optimistic Forecast</th>
<th>Forecast along Familiar Lines</th>
<th>Some Actual Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Design of experimental grid begins. Study of full-scale grid . . .</td>
<td>Several studies of data communication needs, by various groups.</td>
<td>GPO study contracts begin. Abortive Mintech network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPO studies for a national network started.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Experimental grid starts to operate.</td>
<td>. . . modest funds are allotted for experiments.</td>
<td>Formal announcement of EPSS; to start in 1975.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>First units of main grid installed.</td>
<td>GPO announces plan for a grid to start in 1975.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>First export orders . . .</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Marked increase in coverage and traffic.</td>
<td>GPO plan delayed . . .</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td></td>
<td>GPO chooses American equipment . . .</td>
<td>EPSS formally opened.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>British PSS begins operation, based on GTE Telenet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

self, in terms of strictly technical contributions, one would have to single out the alternating bit protocol and the idea of protocol verification as being the NPL’s two most lasting contributions to the literature of networks. Although it is the case that the NPL network was the first practical local area network in the modern sense, it was a wide area network in spirit and there is no evidence that later developments such as Ethernet were directly influenced by it.

The original aim of the NPL network was, of course, to serve as a model of a national network. It was a disappointment to the group that the idea was not more quickly taken up by the British telecommunication authorities. The national failure to transfer technology successfully is a major concern of British science policy today, and there are perhaps some lessons to be learned from this particular example. In 1968, in his private papers, Stanley Gill drew up two detailed scenarios for the future of data communications in Britain: one was an “optimistic forecast” which assumed that the GPO would respond in a timely and energetic fashion, and the other was a “forecast along familiar lines”. The left two columns of Table 2 contain Gill’s forecasts, edited selectively but accurately. The third column gives the chronology of some actual events. The table speaks for itself so we may safely leave the last word with Gill.
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